

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

KENNETH IMHOTEP VAUGHN BEY,
Plaintiff(s),
v.
SEAN T. EVENDEN,
Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:21-cv-01460-JAD-NJK

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

13 District courts have the authority to dismiss cases *sua sponte* without notice to the plaintiff
14 when he “cannot possibly win relief.” *Sparling v. Hoffman Constr. Co.*, 864 F.2d 635, 638 (9th
15 Cir. 1988).¹ A complaint should be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may
16 be granted “if it appears beyond a doubt that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts in support of his
17 claims that would entitle him to relief.” *Buckey v. Los Angeles*, 968 F.2d 791, 794 (9th Cir. 1992).
18 A complaint may be dismissed as frivolous if it is premised on a nonexistent legal interest or
19 delusional factual scenario. *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 327-28 (1989). Moreover, “a
20 finding of factual frivolousness is appropriate when the facts alleged rise to the level of the
21 irrational or the wholly incredible, whether or not there are judicially noticeable facts available to
22 contradict them.” *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992). When a court dismisses a
23 complaint, the plaintiff should be given leave to amend with directions as to curing its deficiencies,

¹ When a plaintiff seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis*, courts screen the complaint to ensure that a claim for relief has been stated. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). Here, Plaintiff filed an incomplete application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. See Docket No. 1. Given the Court's separate ability to dismiss the complaint pursuant to the authority cited above, however, the Court need not address whether Plaintiff qualifies to proceed *in forma pauperis* before dismissing his case.

1 unless it is clear from the face of the complaint that the deficiencies could not be cured by
 2 amendment. *See Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995).

3 Plaintiff's complaint cannot possibly state a claim here. His allegations consist of
 4 sovereign-citizen gibberish for which no there is no cognizable cause of action. The complaint is
 5 properly characterized as frivolous and delusional.

6 Accordingly, in light of the frivolous and delusional nature of Plaintiff's complaint, the
 7 undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that this case be **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

8 This is not the first finding of this nature for Plaintiff.² On June 17, 2021, United States
 9 Magistrate Judge Elayna J. Youchah found another of Plaintiff's cases to be frivolous and
 10 delusional. *Bey v. Williamson*, No. 2:21-cv-00627-RFB-EJY, Docket No. 9 (D. Nev. June 17,
 11 2021) (report and recommendation). Plaintiff is **CAUTIONED** that continuing to file frivolous
 12 lawsuits may result in adverse consequences, including a finding that he is a vexatious litigant.

13 Dated: August 5, 2021

14 
 15 Nancy J. Koppe
 16 United States Magistrate Judge

17 **NOTICE**

18 This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States District Judge assigned
 19 to this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and
 20 recommendation must file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen
 21 days of being served with this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file
 22 a timely objection may waive the right to appeal the district court's order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951
 23 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991).

24
 25
 26

27 ² It may also not be the last. Plaintiff filed nine lawsuits on August 5, 2021. Concurrently
 28 herewith, the undersigned is issuing a report and recommendation that another of those cases be
 dismissed as frivolous and delusional.